

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 801—Chapter 13
“Vietnam Conflict Veterans Bonus”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 35A
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapter 35A

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

October 28, 2025
4 p.m.

Video call link:
meet.google.com/mtw-zbss-sva
Or dial: 361.400.7385
Conference ID: 993 125 828#

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Iowa Department of Veterans Affairs no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Mike Olson
1301 Summit Street
Marshalltown, Iowa 50158
Email: michael.olson@ivh.state.ia.us

Purpose and Summary

The purpose of this proposed rulemaking is to rescind Chapter 13 with no replacement.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
No costs are associated with this proposed rulemaking.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
No additional benefits or detriments are associated with this proposed rulemaking.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**
There are no quantitative impacts associated with this proposed rulemaking.
 - **Qualitative description of impact:**
There are no qualitative impacts associated with this proposed rulemaking.
3. **Costs to the State:**
 - **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**
There are no anticipated implementation or enforcement costs associated with this proposed rulemaking.
 - **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**
There are no anticipated effects on State revenues associated with this proposed rulemaking.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The bonus included in the rules no longer exists in the Iowa Code.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Not applicable.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

• **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

There are no alternatives.

• **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

Not applicable.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **801—Chapter 13.**